

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,720	04/27/2005	Mamoru Uchida	03500.017759	8745
5514 7	590 07/06/2006		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			RAHLL, JERRY T	
	FELLER PLAZA K, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2874	
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T A 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	T				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/532,720	UCHIDA, MAMORU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jerry T. Rahll	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<u></u>	_· action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims	pano quajio, 1000 0.0. 11, 10					
•						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on $\underline{27 April 2005}$ is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
AMarkaran (A)						
Attachment(s)	Λ □ •	(DTO 440)				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/27/05</u> .	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 27, 2006 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

2. Figure 16 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application No. 2002/0167013 to Iwasaki et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,720 Page 3

Art Unit: 2874

5. Regarding Claim 1, Iwasaki et al. describes a photonic circuit board (see Figure 6) with a connection setting circuit (603), a group of electric wires (604), an optic I/O device (see paragraph 0166) and a two dimensional optical transmissions medium (605). Please note that a recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function or "capable of" performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchinson, 69 USPO 138.

- 6. Regarding Claim 2, Iwasaki et al. describes the connection setting circuit as a reconfigurable integrated circuit (see paragraph 0169).
- 7. Regarding Claim 3, while Iwasaki et al. does not specifically describe the circuit formed by a field programmable gate array, the logic circuit described by Iwasaki et al. (see paragraphs 0168-0169) would inherently be such a field programmable gate array.
- 8. Regarding Claim 4, Iwasaki et al. describes the connection setting circuit arranged that a number of electric wires (604) are connected to a single I/O device (at 603).
- 9. Regarding Claim 5, Iwasaki et al. describes the connection setting circuit arranged that the number of optical I/O devices (at 603) is smaller than the number of electric wires (604). Regarding Claim 7, Iwasaki et al. describes a number of electronic devices (603) connected to the group of electric wires (604).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2874

- 11. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwasaki et al. in view of US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0032984 to Uchida.
- 12. Iwasaki et al. describes a photonic circuit board, as discussed above. Iwasaki et al. does not specifically describe the I/O device as a photonic ball IC. Uchida describes a photonic ball IC. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the photonic ball IC of Uchida in the circuit device of Iwasaki et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow more densely located components of the system.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry T. Rahll whose telephone number is (571) 272-2356. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/532,720

Art Unit: 2874

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jerry T Rahll

Michelle CONNELLY CUSHWA
MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHWA

MICHELLE CU

Page 5